

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
FELIX HERNANDEZ CRISTOBAL,  
Defendant.

Case No. [5:11-cr-00355-EJD](#)

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION FOR A BILL OF  
PARTICULARS**

Re: Dkt. No. 348

Defendant Felix Hernandez Cristobal's ("Defendant" or "Mr. Cristobal") Motion for a Bill of Particulars came on for hearing on June 15, 2015. See Docket Item Nos. 348, 421. The Court, having heard the arguments of counsel and having considered the pleadings filed by both parties, DENIES Defendant's motion for the reasons explained below.

**I. BACKGROUND**

Defendant is charged in a Second Superseding Indictment returned on March 18, 2014, with the following counts: (1) Racketeering Conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(d) ("Count 1"); (2) Conspiracy to Commit Murder in Aid of Racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1959(a)(5) ("Count 2"); (3) Conspiracy to Commit Assault with a Dangerous Weapon in Aid of Racketeering in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1959(a)(6) ("Count 3"); (4) Use/Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Crime of Violence in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A) and 2 ("Count 4"); and (4) Conspiracy to Distribute Methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(A)(viii) ("Count 17"). Apart from Defendant, approximately twenty-three others are charged with similar violations in the Second Superseding Indictment.

The main counts against Defendant arise from his alleged participation in or association

1 with a Sureño street gang known as “SSP.” In the instant motion, Defendant makes requests for  
2 additional information as to each count asserted against him. These requests are largely similar  
3 with some minor variation. Specifically, Defendant requests the following:

- 4 • When did Mr. Cristobal become a member of the conspiracy charged in Count(s) 1, 2, 3,  
5 17? What was the exact language, word, or words used by Mr. Cristobal which indicated,  
6 or tended to indicate, that he willfully and knowingly agreed to commit the racketeering  
7 activity alleged to be pertinent to the conspiracy charged in Count 1, (that he willfully and  
8 knowingly agreed to commit the crimes charged in Count(s) 2, 3, and 17) of the Second  
9 Superseding Indictment? As to Count 4, he asks the Government to state when he  
10 personally used or possessed a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence as alleged and  
11 who witnessed this conduct, and, if the Government is charging him with aiding and  
12 abetting the commission of the conduct charged in Count 4, he asks for the specific nature  
13 of the acts, the names and addresses of the persons whom he aided and abetted, the  
14 location where these acts allegedly occurred, and the dates of each act.
- 15 • As to the acts specifically enumerated in the Second Superseding Indictment (pp.11-12 -  
16 Overt Acts, 22(ff), 22(gg), 22(hh) and 22(jj)) please state the specific place the acts that  
17 took place, and statements made, and by whom, in support of any criminal conduct  
18 discussed or committed and who was present. In addition, please state what Mr. Cristobal  
19 said or did at the time each act took place to indicate that he willfully and knowingly  
20 agreed to participate and support any criminal conduct discussed or committed. As to  
21 Count 17, the time, date, and place of the first act he allegedly committed in furtherance of  
22 the conspiracy?
- 23 • Other than acts specifically enumerated in the Second Superseding Indictment (pp.11-12 -  
24 Overt Acts, 22(ff), 22(gg), 22(hh) and 22(jj)), did Mr. Cristobal commit any other acts or  
25 make statements in furtherance of the purpose of the conspiracy as defined in the Second  
26 Superseding Indictment at paragraph 15, pp. 5-6 of the Second Superseding Indictment? If  
27 so, please state the specific places where Mr. Cristobal committed such acts and or the

1 statements made. As to Count 17, the time, date and place of the last act he allegedly  
2 committed in furtherance of the conspiracy charged in Count 17?

3 • The Second Superseding Indictment specifically charges in paragraphs 19-22 (pp. 7-13)  
4 the Manner and Means of the conspiracy as well as the Overt Acts committed to effect the  
5 objectives of the conspiracy. As to Mr. Cristobal, what role did he play in the conspiracy?  
6 Did he participate in the conduct of SSP through any acts other than those enumerated in  
7 paragraphs 22(ff), 22(gg), 22(hh) and 22(jj) of the Second Superseding Indictment? As to  
8 Count 17, the identity of any other acts or statements made in furtherance of the purpose of  
9 the conspiracy.

10 • As to Mr. Cristobal, please state the time, date, and place of the first and last act he  
11 allegedly committed in furtherance of the conspiracy charged in Count 1, and the last act  
12 he committed for Counts 2 and 3.

13 • As to Mr. Cristobal, if the Government is charging him with aiding and abetting the  
14 commission of the conspiracy charged in Count(s) 1, 2, 3 and 17 of the Second  
15 Superseding Indictment, please state the specific nature of the acts, the names and  
16 addresses of the persons whom Mr. Cristobal aided and abetted, the place where these acts  
17 allegedly occurred, and the dates of each act.

18 • Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment names numerous defendants as co-  
19 conspirators and appears to have left blank the names of other co-conspirators both in  
20 paragraphs 16 and 22 (wherein Overt Acts are alleged), paragraph 22 of Counts 2 and 3,  
21 and paragraph 54 as to Count 17. As to each indicted co-conspirator please state: (i) The  
22 exact language, word or words allegedly used by the him which indicated or tended to  
23 indicate, that he willfully and knowingly agreed to commit the racketeering activity alleged  
24 to be pertinent to the conspiracy charged in Count(s) 1, 2,3 and 17 of the Second  
25 Superseding Indictment. (ii) The dates upon which he allegedly committed any specific  
26 act of racketeering activity alleged to be pertinent to the conspiracy charged in Counts 1, 2,  
27 3 and 17 of the Second Superseding Indictment. (iii)The places where he allegedly

1 committed any specific act of racketeering activity alleged to be pertinent to the conspiracy  
2 charged in Count(s) 1, 2, 3 and 17 of the Second Superseding Indictment. (iv) The names  
3 and addresses of each person or persons present or listening when he committed any  
4 specific act of racketeering activity alleged to be pertinent to the conspiracy charged in  
5 Count(s) 1, 2, 3 and 17 of the Second Superseding Indictment. (v) The nature of the act,  
6 and the date, time and place of said act, by which he first manifested that he or she was  
7 part of the conspiracy alleged in Count(s) 1, 2, 3 and 17 of the Second Superseding  
8 Indictment. In other words, what is the first act he is accused of committing in furtherance  
9 of the said conspiracy? (vi) The time, date and place of the last act he is charged with  
10 having committed in furtherance of the conspiracy in Count(s) 1, 2, 3 and 17. (vii)  
11 Whether the Government is charging him with aiding and abetting in the commission of  
12 any specific act of racketeering activity alleged to be pertinent to the conspiracy charged in  
13 Count(s) 1, 2 and 3 of the Second Superseding Indictment, and if so, the specific nature of  
14 the act(s), the names and addresses of the person(s) whom he aided and abetted, the place  
15 where these acts allegedly occurred, and the dates of each act. (viii) The names of  
16 unindicted but identified co-conspirators, including those whose names may have become  
17 known since the return of the Second Superseding Indictment, or the names of other  
18 persons who the government deleted or omitted from the Second Superseding Indictment.

## 19 II. **LEGAL STANDARD**

20 Rule 7(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provides that “[t]he court may direct  
21 the government to file a bill of particulars.” A bill of particulars is appropriate where a defendant  
22 requires clarification in order to prepare a defense and is “designed to apprise the defendant of the  
23 specific charges being presented to minimize danger of surprise at trial, to aid in preparation and  
24 to protect against double jeopardy.” United States v. Long, 706 F.2d 1044, 1054 (9th Cir. 1983);  
25 United States v. Mitchell, 744 F.2d 701, 705 (9th Cir. 1984). The decision to require a bill of  
26 particulars is within a trial court’s discretion, which is broad under these circumstances. Long,  
27 706 F.2d at 1054; Will v. United States, 389 U.S. 90, 99 (1967).

1       The Ninth Circuit has held that when deciding whether to order a bill of particulars, “a  
2 court should consider whether the defendant has been advised adequately of the charges through  
3 the indictment and all other disclosures made by the government.” Id. However, “[a] defendant is  
4 not entitled to know all the [e]vidence the government intends to produce.” United States v.  
5 Giese, 597 F.2d 1170, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (internal citations omitted). The purposes of a bill of  
6 particulars are served when “the indictment itself provides sufficient details of the charges and if  
7 the Government provides full discovery to the defense.” Mitchell, 744 F.2d at 705.

8       No requirement exists in conspiracy cases for the Government to “disclose even all the  
9 overt acts in furtherance of the conspiracy.” Giese, 597 F.2d. at 1180 (citing United States v.  
10 Murray, 527 F.2d 401, 411 (5th Cir. 1976)); see also United States v. Armocida, 515 F.2d 49, 54 (3d  
11 Cir. 1975); see also United States v. Carroll, 510 F.2d 507, 509 (2d Cir. 1975). Further, the  
12 Government “is not required to furnish the name[s] of all other co-conspirators in the bill of  
13 particulars.” See United States v. Crayton, 357 F.3d 560, 568 (6th Cir. 2004).

14      **III. DISCUSSION**

15       At the hearing, the Government argued that Defendant possessed sufficient information to  
16 prepare a defense and avoid surprise at trial. To that end, the Government noted it had previously  
17 released substantial discovery to Defendant and was continuing to release additional discovery  
18 subsequent to the filing of the Second Superseding Indictment. This continuing discovery  
19 included transcripts of recordings of meetings of alleged SSP members and drug transactions  
20 involving defendants. The Government further noted that page 33 of the Second Superseding  
21 Indictment provides both a detailed description of the charges against Defendant, including overt  
22 acts alleged, and sufficient information for Defendant to prepare a defense and avoid surprise at  
23 trial. In addition, the Government cited to pages 2 through 13 of the Second Superseding  
24 Indictment, which it contends describes in detail the background of the charges and the  
25 organization, the Racketeering Enterprise and Conspiracy, the Manner and Means of the  
26 Conspiracy and the Overt Acts of the defendants.

27       For this part, Defendant recognized that he had received substantial discovery and

1 acknowledged that the Government continues to provide information. However, Defendant  
2 argues that the information provided to date is insufficient to provide him notice of his criminal  
3 liability as to the charges alleged against him. Defendant's argument appears to be based on his  
4 opinion concerning the quality of the evidence against him.

5 As to the Defendant's requests related to Counts 1, 2, 3 and 17, the Court finds the requests  
6 overbroad and outside of what can be provided by a bill of particulars. Indeed, Defendant is not  
7 entitled to the exact dates on which he or other alleged conspirators became members of the  
8 conspiracy or left the conspiracy because these details are not generally within the Government's  
9 knowledge. United States v. Diaz, No. CR 05-00167 WHA, 2006 WL 1833081, at \*3 (N.D. Cal.  
10 June 30, 2006) (citing Rubio v. United States, 22 F.2d 766, 767-68 (9th Cir. 1927)). This same  
11 logic applies to Defendant's request for the date, time, location, exact words or language used, and  
12 any first and last act in furtherance of a conspiracy, as well as to his request for the Government's  
13 knowledge of anyone who may have heard Defendant's statements.

14 As to Defendant's requests regarding Count 4, the Court finds the Second Superseding  
15 Indictment sufficiently informs Defendant of the conspiracies involved and the participation and  
16 conduct related to the charges.

17 As to Defendant's request for the names of unindicted but identified co-conspirators,  
18 including those whose names may have become known since the return to the Second Superseding  
19 Indictment, and for the names of other persons who the Government deleted or omitted from the  
20 Second Superseding Indictment, the Court finds that such information does fall within the purview  
21 of discovery. It is also potential Brady material. This information, however, does not need to be  
22 specified through a bill of particulars.

23 Ultimately, the Second Superseding Indictment sufficiently informs Defendant as to the  
24 nature of the charges against him, including the conspiracy and overt acts alleged, such that he can  
25 prepare a defense and not be surprised at trial. Accordingly, Defendant's motion will be denied.

26 **IV. ORDER**

27 Defendant's Motion for a Bill of Particulars as to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 17 (Docket Item

1 No. 348) is DENIED.  
2  
3

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: July 8, 2015  
5  
6

  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

10 EDWARD J. DAVILA  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California